


**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicants : Tatsushi Nashida, et al. Notice of Allowance  
Serial No. : 09/601,126 Dated: 06/12/2009  
Filed : September 22, 2000  
Title : INFORMATION PROVIDING DEVICE AND METHOD FOR  
INDEX INFORMATION FOR SCENE CHANGES  
Examiner : Farzana E. Hossain  
Art Unit : 2424  
Confirmation No. : 5640

745 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10151  
Tel. (212) 588-0800

<p><b><u>CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING</u></b></p> <p>I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via Electronic Filing Services on September 11, 2009</p> <p>_____ Maria Lapitan (Name of person signing transmittal)</p> <p>_____  Signature</p> <p>_____ September 11, 2009 Date of Signature</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance, which  
accompanied the Notice of Allowance mailed June 12, 2009. To the extent the Examiner's

Statement of Reasons for Allowance states, implies or is construed to mean that the claims are allowable over the prior art of record because the Examiner believes the claims should be interpreted to include one or more features or limitations not recited therein, Applicants' attorney disagrees with such an interpretation. Moreover, it is Applicants' contention that there is no particular limitation in the allowed claims that is more critical than any other. The issuance of the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance should not be construed as a surrender by Applicants of any subject matter. It is the intent of Applicants, by their attorney, to construe the allowed claims so as to cover the invention disclosed in the instant application and all equivalents to which the claimed invention is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP  
Attorneys for Applicants

By   
William S. Frommer  
Reg. No. 25,506  
(212) 588-0800